

Remarks

According to the Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), the amendment filed on April 18, 2005 is non-compliant because it failed to meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.121. In particular, the amended claims were improperly labeled as being "amended," rather than as "currently amended," as required. The Notice goes on to explain that only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment document need be resubmitted. Accordingly, a new listing of the claims is submitted with the amended claims properly labeled as being "currently amended." The listing is attached hereto and begins on page three (3) of this response.


Applicant believes that no extension of time is necessary to make this Response timely. Should Applicant be in error, Applicant respectfully requests that the Office grant such time extension pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) as necessary to make this Response timely, and hereby authorizes the Office to charge any necessary fee or surcharge with respect to said time extension to the deposit account of the undersigned firm of attorneys, Deposit Account 50-1546.

Please direct any questions or comments to Daniel P. Malley at (607) 330-4010.

Respectfully submitted,

~~BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC~~

Date: May 3, 2005



Daniel P. Malley
Registration No. 43,443
BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC
10 Brown Rd.
Suite 201
Ithaca, NY 14850-1248